

Strawman optics design for the LHeC ERL Test Facility

A. Valloni | O. Brüning | R. Calaga | E. Jensen | M. Klein | R.Tomas | F. Zimmermann | CERN, Geneva, Switzerland A. Bogacz | D. Douglas | Jefferson Lab, Newport News, Virginia

ABSTRACT

In preparation for a future Large Hadron electron Collider (LHeC) at CERN, an ERL test facility is foreseen as a test bed for SRF development, cryogenics, and advanced beam instrumentation, as well as for studies of ERL-specific beam dynamics. The CERN ERL test facility would comprise two linacs, each ultimately consisting of 4 superconducting 5-cell cavities at ≈ 802 MHz, and two return arcs on either side; a final electron energy of about 300 MeV is reached. The average beam current should be above 6 mA to explore the parameter range of the future LHeC. In this paper we present a preliminary optics layout.

LHeC Recirculator with ER **An LHeC ERL Test Facility at CERN**

The LHeC is a proposed new machine at CERN which will The ERL test facility foreseen at CERN aims at a 100-MeV scale energy recovery demonstration of a recirculating superconducting linear accelerator. collide the 7-TeV protons circulating in the Large Hadron The facility will allow addressing several physics challenges such as Collider (LHC) with a high-energy lepton beam at a single The main purposes are: maintaining high beam brightness through preservation of the six collision point [1]. • confirming the feasibility of the LHeC ERL design by demonstrating dimensional emittance, managing the phase space during acceleration The LHeC ERL approach allows a comparable or even stable intense electron beams with the intended parameters (current, higher machine performance as compared to the LHeC bunch spacing, bunch length); and energy recovery, stable acceleration and deceleration of high • testing novel components such as a (polarized) DC electron gun, current beams in CW mode operation. Ring-Ring option. The design must also allow addressing other performance aspects superconducting RF cavities, cryomodule design and feedback such as longitudinal phase space manipulations, effects of coherent diagnostics; synchrotron radiation (CSR) and longitudinal space charge, halo and • experimental studies of the lattice dependence of stability criteria. beam loss and microbunching instability.



Overall layout:

• A 0.5 GeV injector with an injection chicane;

System architecture

1. A 5 MeV in-line injector with an injection chicane;

- 2. Superconducting linacs consisting of two (or one) cryomodules of in total eight 5-cell SC structures operating at 802 MHz [2];
- **3.** Optics transport lines including spreader regions at the exit of each linac to separate and direct the beams via vertical bending, and recombiner sections to merge the beams and to match them for acceleration through the next linac;



ERL test facility providing increased operational flexibility. A two-pass recirculating linear accelerator enables operation in the energy recovery mode. The prototype architecture produces 300 MeV beams with a target current of about 6 mA. Arc 1, at 152 MeV, is shared by the accelerating and decelerating beam. Flexibility in the design will eventually permit to support additional passes to increase the final beam energy.

Use of separated transport lines along the whole system, except for the single linac, facilitates management of the 6-D beam phase space throughout the machine, a complete understanding of the limitation to the average current imposed by BBU, and optimization of transport system aberrations by means of the choice of betatron match and phase advance.

- Two SCRF linacs (Energy gain of 10 GeV per pass);
- Six 180° arcs, and for each arc one re-accelerating station that compensates the SR emitted;
- Switching stations to combine/distribute the beams over different arcs;
- An extraction dump at 0.5 GeV.

LHeC ERL main parameters

PARAMETER	VALUE
PARTICLES PER BUNCH	2·10 ⁹
INITIAL NORM. TRANSVERSE EMITTANCE	30 µm
BUNCH LENGTH	600 µm
BEAM SIZE AT IP (rms)	7 µm
NORM. TRANSVERSE EMITTANCE AT IP	50 µm
BEAM ENERGY AT IP	60 GeV
AVERAGE CURRENT	6.4 mA
LUMINOSITY	>10 ³³ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹
TOT. WALL PLUG POWER	<100 MW

Optics choice:

4. Beam dump at 5 MeV.



Subsequent upgrade to LHeC pre-accelerator. By modifying the machine backleg to include a second full cryomodule, the recirculator can deliver a higher beam energy of 600 MeV. The facility, in this new configuration, could represent, in principle, a smaller clone of the final LHeC project and could, undoubtedly, be adopted as an injector to the final 60 GeV machine.

Relevant beam parameters for the injector

PARAMETER	VALUE
ENERGY	5 MeV
BEAM CHARGE	>300 pC
BUNCH LENGTH (rms)	<3 mm
ENERGY SPREAD (rms)	<10 keV
NORM. TRANSVERSE EMITTANCE (rms)	<25 mm-mrad

Transport optics

Appropriate recirculation optics are of fundamental concern in a multi-pass machine to preserve beam quality. The design comprises three different regions, the linac optics, the recirculation optics and the merger optics. The focusing strength of the quadrupoles along the linac needs to be set to transport two copropagating beams of different energy and to support a large number of passes. Disturbing effects on the beam phase-space such as cumulative emittance and momentum growth have to be counteracted through a pertinent choice of the basic optics cell.

For beams with non-zero energy spread, one would like to employ a quasi-isochronous arc to limit bunch lengthening in the subsequent linac and the synchronous condition can by defined in terms of a tolerable RF phase delay for a given momentum acceptance.

Diverse plausible optics layouts are taken into consideration:

Due to the demand of providing a reasonable validation of the LHeC final system our plan is, at present, more oriented towards employing a FMC cell based lattice [3]. A next step will be the study of a hardware solution which could work at the same time as an FMC cell and as a FODO based second order achromat cell.

$\mathbf{\Lambda}$

Arc-to-Linac Synchronization > Quasi-isochronous lattices

Arc optics > Emittance preserving lattices > Variation of Flexible momentum compaction cells (Imaginary Y, Double-Bend Achromat (DBA), Theoretical Emittance Minimum (TEM))

• FMC cell;

• 6-cell FODO lattice (with 60° horizontal phase advance and 90° vertical phase advance per cell) perturbed by a closed dispersion bump to control M₅₆;

 compact FODO arc also based on 90°/60° horizontal/vertical phase advance per cell.



Optics based on an FMC cell of the lowest energy return arc at 152 MeV. Horizontal (red curve) and vertical (green curve) beta-functions amplitude are illustrated. Blue and black curves show, respectively, the evolution of the horizontal and vertical dispersion.

CONCLUSIONS	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	REFERENCES
An ERL based collider in which a newly provided electron beam collides with the intense hadron beams of the LHC represents a major opportunity for progress in	This work is supported by the European Commission within the oPAC project under Grant Agreement 289485.	[1] J. L. Abelleira Fernandez, et al. [LHeC Study Group Collaboration], J. Phys. G 39 (2012) 075001 [arXiv:1206.2913 [physics.acc-ph]].
to LHeC is now under active development. Here we have described the CERN ERL test facility purposes and specific		[2] R. Calaga, E. Ciapala and E. Jensen, Proposal for an LHeC ERL Test Facility at CERN, CERN-LHeC-Note-2012-006 ACC.
requirements along with two conceivable layout schematics. The ultimate goal is a design that operates on a multiple operating points in order to allow for a comprehensive validation testing of the key concepts for the final LHeC.		[3] S.A. Bogacz, I. Shin, D. Schulte, F. Zimmermann, LHeC ERL Design and Beam-dynamics Issues, in Proceedings of the 2011 International Particle Accelerator Conference, San Sebastin, Spain.