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#### Abstract

Mutant knots, in the sense of Conway, are known to share the same Homfly polynomial. Their 2 -string satellites also share the same Homfly polynomial, but in general their $m$-string satellites can have different Homfly polynomials for $m>2$. We show that, under conditions of extra symmetry on the constituent 2 -tangles, the directed $m$-string satellites of mutants share the same Homfly polynomial for $m<6$ in general, and for all choices of $m$ when the satellite is based on a cable knot pattern.

We give examples of mutants with extra symmetry whose Homfly polynomials of some 6 -string satellites are different, by comparing their quantum $\operatorname{sl}(3)$ invariants.


## 1 Introduction

This paper has been inspired by recent observations of Ochiai and Jun Murakami about the Homfly skein theory of $m$-parallels of certain symmetrical 2-tangles. In [8] Ochiai remarks that the 3-parallels of the tangle $A B$ in figure 1 and its mirror image $\overline{A B}=B A$ are equal in the Homfly skein of 6 -tangles, in other words, in the Hecke algebra $H_{6}$, [1].

$=$


Figure 1:
As a consequence, the 3-parallels of any mutant pair of knots given by composing the 2 -tangles $A B$ and $B A$ with any other 2 -tangle $C$ and then closing will share the same Homfly polynomial.

This is in contrast with the known fact that 3-parallels of mutant knots in general can have different Homfly polynomials, [7, 4].

There is interest in the extent to which the Homfly polynomial of $m$-parallels or other $m$-string satellites can distinguish mutants which are closures of $A B C$ and $B A C$ with $A$ and $B$ as above. Ochiai has found that the 4-parallels of $A B$ and $B A$ are different in the skein $H_{8}$.

The purpose of this paper is to show that if $A$ and $B$ are any two oriented 2 -tangles with symmetry

$$
A=\underset{\square}{\square}, \quad B=\frac{\square}{\square}
$$

then the $m$-parallels, and indeed any directed $m$-string satellite, of knots ${ }^{\wedge} A B C$ and ${ }^{\wedge} B A C$ shown in figure 2 share the same Homfly polynomial for $m<6$.


Figure 2: Tangle interchange
In contrast there exist examples of $A, B$ and $C$, including Ochiai's case with

for which the Homfly polynomials of the 6 -fold parallel are different.
As an unexpected extension of the main result we show that the Homfly polynomial of a genuine connected cable, based on the ( $m, n$ ) torus knot pattern, with $m$ and $n$ coprime, for any number of strings, $m$, will not distinguish mutants with symmetry above, although a more general connected satellite pattern can do so.

The examples which exhibit differences for the directly oriented 6 -parallel can also be used to show that the 4 -parallels with two pairs of reverse strands have distinct Homfly polynomials.

The proofs are based on the relation of the Homfly satellite invariants to quantum $\operatorname{sl}(N)$ invariants, and the techniques are an extension of work with Cromwell [4] and with H. Ryder [6]. The eventual calculations that exhibit the difference of invariants in the specific example depend on the 27 dimensional irreducible module over $\operatorname{sl}(3)$ corresponding to the partition 4, 2, and some Maple calculations following similar lines to those in [6].

## 2 Shared invariants of mutants

The term mutant was coined by Conway, and refers to the following general construction.

Suppose that a knot $K$ can be decomposed into two oriented 2-tangles $F$ and $G$


A new knot $K^{\prime}$ can be formed by replacing the tangle $F$ with the tangle $F^{\prime}=\tau_{i}(F)$ given by rotating $F$ through $\pi$ in one of three ways,

$$
\tau_{1}(F)=\square \backsim \curvearrowleft, \quad \tau_{2}(F)=\square, \quad \tau_{3}(F)=\frac{\square}{F},
$$

reversing its string orientations if necessary. Any of the three knots

is called a mutant of $K$.
The two 11-crossing knots, $C$ and $K T$, with trivial Alexander polynomial found by Conway and Kinoshita-Teresaka are the best-known example of mutant knots.


### 2.1 Satellites

A satellite of $K$ is determined by choosing a diagram $Q$ in the standard annulus, and then drawing $Q$ on the annular neighbourhood of $K$ determined by the framing, to give the satellite knot $K * Q$. We refer to this construction as decorating $K$ with the pattern $Q$, as shown in figure 3.


Figure 3: Satellite construction
For fixed $Q$ the Homfly polynomial $P(K * Q)$ of the satellite is an invariant of the framed knot $K$. The invariants $P(K * Q)$ as $Q$ varies make up the Homfly satellite invariants of $K$. We use the alternate notation $P(K ; Q)$ in place of $P(K * Q)$ when we want to emphasise the dependence on $K$.

The general symmetry result compares the invariants of two knots $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ made up of 2-tangles $A, B$ and $C$, by interchanging $A$ and $B$ as in figure 2 .

Theorem 1. Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are both symmetric under the half-twist $\tau_{3}$, so that

$$
A=\frac{\square}{15}, \quad B=\frac{\square}{15}
$$

Let $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ be knots which are the closure of $A B C$ and $B A C$ respectively for any tangle $C$, as in figure 2. Then $P(K * Q)=P\left(K^{\prime} * Q\right)$ for every closed braid pattern $Q$ on $m<6$ strings.

Remark 1. Our proof will apply equally to the case where $Q$ is the closure of a directly oriented $m$-tangle with $m<6$.

In order to prove the theorem we must rewrite the Homfly satellite invariants in terms of quantum $s l(N)$ invariants, so we now give a brief summary of the relations bewteen these invariants, originally established by Wenzl. Further details can be found in [1] and the thesis of Lukac, [3], including details of variant Homfly skeins with a framing correction factor, $x$. These are isomorphic to the skeins used here but the parameter allows a careful adjustment of the quadratic skein relation to agree directly with the natural relation arising from use of the quantum groups $s l(N)$.

### 2.2 Homfly skeins

For a surface $F$ with some designated input and output boundary points the (linear) Homfly skein of $F$ is defined as linear combinations of oriented diagrams in $F$, up to Reidemeister moves II and III, modulo the skein relations
1.

2.


It is an immediate consequence that

$$
\left.\circlearrowleft \int=\delta\right\}
$$

where $\delta=\frac{v^{-1}-v}{s-s^{-1}} \in \Lambda$. The coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is taken as $Z\left[v^{ \pm 1}, s^{ \pm 1}\right]$, with denominators $s^{r}-s^{-r}, r \geq 1$.

The skein of the annulus is denoted by $\mathcal{C}$. It becomes a commutative algebra with a product induced by placing one annulus outside another.

The skein of the rectangle with $m$ inputs at the top and $m$ outputs at the bottom is denoted by $H_{m}$. We define a product in $H_{m}$ by stacking one rectangle above the other, obtaining the Hecke algebra $H_{m}(z)$, when $z=s-s^{-1}$ and the coefficients are extended to $\Lambda$. The Hecke algebra $H_{m}$ can also be regarded as the group algebra of Artin's braid group $B_{m}$ generated by the elementary braids $\sigma_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m-1$, modulo the further quadratic relation $\sigma_{i}^{2}=z \sigma_{i}+1$.

The closure map from $H_{m}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ is the $\Lambda$-linear map induced by mapping a tangle $T$ to its closure $\widehat{T}$ in the annulus (see figure 4). We refer to a diagram $Q=\widehat{T}$ as a directly oriented pattern.


Figure 4: The closure map
The image of this map is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{m}$, which has a useful interpretation as the space of symmetric polynomials of degree $m$ in variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ for large enough $N$. Moreover, the submodule $\mathcal{C}_{+} \subset \mathcal{C}$ spanned by the union $\cup_{m \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_{m}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}$ isomorphic to the algebra of the symmetric functions.

### 2.3 Quantum invariants

A quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ is an algebra over a formal power series $\operatorname{ring} \mathbf{Q}[[h]]$, typically a deformed version of a classical Lie algebra. We write $q=e^{h}, s=e^{h / 2}$ when working in $s l(N)_{q}$. A finite dimensional module over $\mathcal{G}$ is a linear space on which $\mathcal{G}$ acts.

Crucially, $\mathcal{G}$ has a coproduct $\Delta$ which ensures that the tensor product $V \otimes$ $W$ of two modules is also a module. It also has a universal $R$-matrix (in a completion of $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G})$ which determines a well-behaved module isomorphism

$$
R_{V W}: V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V .
$$

This has a diagrammatic view indicating its use in converting coloured tangles to module homomorphisms.


A braid $\beta$ on $m$ strings with permutation $\pi \in S_{m}$ and a colouring of the strings by modules $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}$ leads to a module homomorphism

$$
J_{\beta}: V_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{m} \rightarrow V_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\pi(m)}
$$

using $R_{V_{i}, V_{j}}^{ \pm 1}$ at each elementary braid crossing. The homomorphism $J_{\beta}$ depends only on the braid $\beta$ itself, not its decomposition into crossings, by the YangBaxter relation for the universal $R$-matrix.

When $V_{i}=V$ for all $i$ we get a module homomorphism $J_{\beta}: W \rightarrow W$, where $W=V^{\otimes m}$. Equally, a directed $m$-tangle $T$ determines an endomorphism $J_{T}$ of $W=V^{\otimes m}$. Now any $\operatorname{sl}(N)$ module $W$ decomposes as a direct sum $\bigoplus\left(W_{\mu} \otimes V_{\mu}^{(N)}\right)$, where $W_{\mu}$ is the linear subspace consisting of the highest weight vectors of type $\mu$ associated to the module $V_{\mu}^{(N)}$. Highest weight subspaces of each type are preserved by module homomorphisms, and so $J_{T}$ determines (and is determined by) the restrictions $J_{T}(\mu): W_{\mu} \rightarrow W_{\mu}$ for each $\mu$.

If a knot $K$ is decorated by a pattern $Q$ which is the closure of an $m$-tangle $T$ then its quantum invariant $J(K * Q ; V)$ can be found from the endomorphism $J_{T}$ of $W=V^{\otimes m}$ in terms of the quantum invariants of $K$ and the highest weight maps $J_{T}(\mu): W_{\mu} \rightarrow W_{\mu}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(K * Q ; V)=\sum c_{\mu} J\left(K ; V_{\mu}^{(N)}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{\mu}=\operatorname{tr} J_{T}(\mu)$. This formula follows from lemma II.4.4 in Turaev's book [11]. Here $\mu$ runs over partitions with at most $N$ parts when we are working with $\operatorname{sl}(N)$, and we set $c_{\mu}=0$ when $W$ has no highest weight vectors of type $\mu$.
Proof of theorem 1. Take $V=V^{(N)}$ as the fundamental module of dimension $N$ for $s l(N)$. Then the only highest weight types $\mu$ which occur in equation (1)
are partitions of $m$ with at most $N$ rows. Because $J\left(K * Q ; V^{(N)}\right)=P(K * Q)$ when $v=s^{-N}$ we can show that $P(K * Q)=P\left(K^{\prime} * Q\right)$ by showing that $J\left(K * Q ; V^{(N)}\right)=J\left(K^{\prime} * Q ; V^{(N)}\right)$ for all $N$. By equation 1 it is then enough to show that $J\left(K ; V_{\mu}^{(N)}\right)=J\left(K^{\prime} ; V_{\mu}^{(N)}\right)$ for all $N$ and all partitions $\mu \vdash m$.

Now each tangle $A$ and $B$ determines an endomorphism $J_{A}, J_{B}$ of $V_{\mu} \otimes V_{\mu}$. If $J_{A}$ and $J_{B}$ commute then $J\left(K ; V_{\mu}\right)=J\left(K^{\prime} ; V_{\mu}\right)$. The endomorphisms $J_{A}$ and $J_{B}$ are determined by their restriction $J_{A}(\nu), J_{B}(\nu)$ to the highest weight subspaces $W_{\nu}$ in the decomposition $V_{\mu} \otimes V_{\mu}=\sum W_{\nu} \otimes V_{\nu}$, so it is enough to show that $J_{A}(\nu)$ and $J_{B}(\nu)$ commute where $V_{\nu}$ is a summand of $V_{\mu} \otimes V_{\mu}$. This is certainly the case for all $\nu$ where $W_{\nu}$ is 1-dimensional, which includes the case of single row or column partitions $\mu$, [4].

As a special case of the work of Rosso and Jones, $[9,5]$, we know that the endomorphism of $V_{\mu} \otimes V_{\mu}$ for the full twist $\Delta^{2}$ on two strings operates as a scalar $e^{f(\nu)}$ on each highest weight space $W_{\nu}$, while the half twist $\Delta$, represented by the $R$-matrix $R_{V_{\mu} V_{\mu}}$, operates on $W_{\nu}$ with two eigenvalues $\pm e^{\frac{1}{2} f(\nu)}$.

The positive and negative eigenspaces corrspond to the classical decomposition of the Schur function $\left(s_{\mu}\right)^{2}$ into symmetric and skew-symmetric parts, $h_{2}\left(s_{\mu}\right)$ and $e_{2}\left(s_{\mu}\right)$, and the dimension of each eigenspace of $W_{\nu}$ is the multiplicity of $s_{\nu}$ in $h_{2}\left(s_{\mu}\right)$ and $e_{2}\left(s_{\mu}\right)$ respectively.

Now $A=\tau_{3}(A)$, so that $A \Delta=\Delta A$. Hence the endomorphism $J_{A}$, and similarly $J_{B}$, preserves the positive and negative eigenspaces of each $W_{\nu}$. If these eigenspaces have dimension 1 or 0 then $J_{A}$ and $J_{B}$ will commute on $W_{\nu}$.

The theorem is then established by checking that no $s_{\nu}$ occurs in $h_{2}\left(s_{\mu}\right)$ or $e_{2}\left(s_{\mu}\right)$ with multiplicity $>1$ for any $\mu$ with $|\mu| \leq 5$. The decomposition of all of these can be quickly confirmed using the Maple program SF of Stembridge [10].

Corollary 2. Examples include $k$-pretzel knots $K\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ with odd $a_{i}$.


Here the numbers $a_{i}$ can be permuted without changing the Homfly polynomial of any satellite with $\leq 5$-strings.

## 3 Satellites with different Homfly polynomials

A further check with the program SF when $|\mu|=6$ shows that there are just three partitions, $\mu=4,2$, its conjugate $\mu=2,2,1,1$ and $\mu=3,2,1$ whose symmetric square $h_{2}\left[s_{\mu}\right]$ contains summands with multiplicity $>1$, as does the exterior squares of $\mu=3,2,1$. Explicitly $h_{2}\left[s_{4,2}\right]=s_{8,4}+s_{8,2,2}+s_{7,4,1}+s_{7,3,2}+$ $s_{7,3,1,1}+s_{6,6}+s_{6,5,1}+2 s_{6,4,2}+s_{6,3,2,1}+s_{6,2,2,2}+s_{5,5,1,1}+s_{5,4,3}+s_{5,4,2,1}+$ $s_{5,3,3,1}+s_{4,4,4}+s_{4,4,2,2}$. This means that, although $m$-string satellites of $K$
and $K^{\prime}$ must share the Homfly polynomial when $m \leq 5$, it is possible for the Homfly polynomials of some 6 -string satellites to differ.

We give an example now where this does indeed happen.
Theorem 3. Let $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ be the pretzel knots $K=K(1,3,3,-3,-3)$ and $K^{\prime}=K(1,3,-3,3,-3)$.


The 6-fold parallels $K * Q$ and $K^{\prime} * Q$, where $Q$ is the closure of the identity braid on 6 strings, have different Homfly polynomials.

Proof. Write $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ as the closure of the products $\triangle A B A B$ and $\triangle B A A B$ respectively, where

are the partially closed 3 -braids shown, and $\Delta$ is the positive half-twist. We show that $P(K * Q) \neq P\left(K^{\prime} * Q\right)$ when $v=s^{-3}$. These values are given by the $s l(3)$ quantum invariants $J\left(K * Q ; V^{(3)}\right)$ and $J\left(K^{\prime} * Q ; V^{(3)}\right)$, where $V^{(3)}$ is the fundamental 3-dimensional module for $\operatorname{sl}(3)$. Since $Q$ is the closure of the identity braid on 6 strings it induces the identity endomorphism on the module $\left(V^{(3)}\right)^{\otimes 6}$. This module decomposes as $\bigoplus W_{\mu} \otimes V_{\mu}^{(3)}$ where $\mu$ runs through partitions of 6 with at most 3 rows. The trace of the identity on $W_{\mu}$ is just $d_{\mu}=\operatorname{dim} W_{\mu}$, giving

$$
J\left(K * Q ; V^{(3)}\right)=\sum d_{\mu} J\left(K ; V_{\mu}^{(3)}\right)
$$

The only partition $\mu$ in this range for which the exterior or symmetric square contains highest weight vectors of multiplicity $>1$ is the partition $\mu=4,2$, since the partition $\mu=2,2,1,1$ has 4 rows and the repeated factors for $\mu=3,2,1$ occur for partitions with more than 3 rows. Now $J_{A}(\mu) J_{B}(\mu)=J_{B}(\mu) J_{A}(\mu)$ for all other $\mu$ since $A$ and $B$ are symmetric up to altering the framing on both strings, while maintaining the writhe. Then

$$
P(K * Q)-P\left(K^{\prime} * Q\right)=d_{\mu}\left(J\left(K ; V_{\mu}^{(3)}\right)-J\left(K^{\prime} ; V_{\mu}^{(3)}\right)\right)
$$

when $v=s^{-3}$ and $\mu=4,2$. Since $d_{\mu} \neq 0$ it is enough to show that $J\left(K ; V_{\mu}^{(3)}\right) \neq$ $J\left(K^{\prime} ; V_{\mu}^{(3)}\right)$. The module $V_{\mu}^{(3)}$ has dimension 27.

We now work in the quantum group $s l(3)$ and drop the superscript (3) from the irreducible modules.

Decompose the module $V_{\mu} \otimes V_{\mu}$ as $\sum W_{\nu} \otimes V_{\nu}$ and compare the endomorphisms given by the tangles $T=A B A B \Delta$ and $T^{\prime}=B A A B \Delta$.

In this case just one of the invariant subspaces of highest weight vectors has dimension $>1$. It can be shown that the corresponding $2 \times 2$ matrices $A_{\mu}$ and $B_{\mu}$ arising from the two mirror-image tangles $A$ and $B$ with 3 crossings satisfy $\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\mu} B_{\mu} A_{\mu} B_{\mu}-A_{\mu} A_{\mu} B_{\mu} B_{\mu}\right) \neq 0$, which results in a difference in their $\operatorname{sl}(3)$ invariants $J\left(K ; V_{\lambda}\right)$.

None of the other 6-cell invariants differ on the two knots. Consequently the 6 -parallels have different $s l(3)$ invariants. The $s l(3)$ invariant of the 6 -parallels of the two pretzel knots coloured with the fundamental module, and thus their Homfly polynomials, are then different.

### 3.1 Use of the quantum group $s l(3)_{q}$

The calculation of the $2 \times 2$ matrices $A_{\nu}$ and $B_{\nu}$ giving the effect of the two tangles on the highest weight vectors where there is a 2 -dimensional highest weight subspace of the symmetric part of the module depends on finding the explicit action of the quantum group on the 27 -dimensional module $V_{\mu}^{(3)}$ with $\mu=4,2$ and its tensor square, as well as the homomorphism representing its $R$-matrix. I used the linear algebra packages in Maple to handle the matrix working and subsequent polynomial factorisation, following fairly closely the techniques developed with H . Ryder in the paper [6].

In the interests of reproducibility I give an account of the methods used, and some of the checks applied during the calculations, to test against known properties.

We start from a presentation of the quantum group $s l(3)_{q}$ as an algebra with six generators, $X_{1}^{ \pm}, X_{2}^{ \pm}, H_{1}, H_{2}$, and a description of the comultiplication and antipode.

Let $M$ be any finite-dimensional left module over $s l(3)_{q}$. The action of any one of these six generators $Y$ will determine a linear endomorphism $Y_{M}$ of $M$. We build up explicit matrices for these endomorphisms on a selection of lowdimensional modules, using the comultiplication to deal with the tensor product of two known modules, and the antipode to construct the action on the linear dual of a known module. We must eventually determine the matrices $Y_{M}$ for our module $M=V_{\square \square}$, and find the $729 \times 729 R$-matrix, $R_{M M}$ which represents the endomorphism of $M \otimes M$ needed for crossings.

We follow Kassel in the basic description of the quantum group from using generators $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ for the Cartan sub-algebra, but with generators $X_{i}^{ \pm}$in place of $X_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$. We use the notation $K_{i}=\exp \left(h H_{i} / 4\right)$, and set $a=\exp (h / 4), s=\exp (h / 2)=a^{2}$ and $q=\exp (h)=s^{2}$, unlike Kassel. The generators satisfy the commutation relations

$$
\left[H_{i}, H_{j}\right]=0,\left[H_{i}, X_{j}^{ \pm}\right]= \pm a_{i j} X_{j}^{ \pm},\left[X_{i}^{+}, X_{i}^{-}\right]=\left(K_{i}^{2}-K_{i}^{-2}\right) /\left(s-s^{-1}\right)
$$

where $\left(a_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ is the Cartan matrix for $S U(3)$ (and also the Serre
relations of degree 3 between $X_{1}^{ \pm}$and $X_{2}^{ \pm}$).
Comultiplication is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(H_{i}\right) & =H_{i} \otimes I+I \otimes H_{i}, \\
\left(\text { so } \Delta\left(K_{i}\right)\right. & \left.=K_{i} \otimes K_{i},\right) \\
\Delta\left(X_{i}^{ \pm}\right) & =X_{i}^{ \pm} \otimes K_{i}+K_{i}^{-1} \otimes X_{i}^{ \pm},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the antipode $S$ by $S\left(X_{i}^{ \pm}\right)=-s^{ \pm 1} X_{i}^{ \pm}, S\left(H_{i}\right)=-H_{i}, S\left(K_{i}\right)=K_{i}^{-1}$.
The fundamental 3-dimensional module, which we denote by $E$, has a basis in which the quantum group generators are represented by the matrices $Y_{E}$ as listed here.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), X_{2}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& X_{1}^{-}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), X_{2}^{-}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& H_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For calculations we keep track of the elements $K_{i}$ rather than $H_{i}$, represented by

$$
K_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), K_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for the module $E$.
We can then write down the elements $Y_{E E}$ for the actions of the generators $Y$ on the module $E \otimes E$, from the comultiplication formulae. The $R$-matrix $R_{E E}$ can be given, up to a scalar, by the prescription

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{E E}\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{j}\right) & =e_{j} \otimes e_{i}, \text { if } i>j, \\
& =s e_{i} \otimes e_{i}, \text { if } i=j, \\
& =e_{j} \otimes e_{i}+\left(s-s^{-1}\right) e_{i} \otimes e_{j}, \text { if } i<j,
\end{aligned}
$$

for basis elements $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of $E$.
The linear dual $M^{*}$ of a module $M$ becomes a module when the action of a generator $Y$ on $f \in M^{*}$ is defined by $\left.\left\langle Y_{M^{*}} f, v\right\rangle=<f, S\left(Y_{M}\right) v\right\rangle$, for $v \in M$. For the dual module $F=E^{*}$ we then have matrices for $Y_{F}$, relative to the dual basis, as follows.

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{1}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-s & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), X_{2}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -s & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
X_{1}^{-}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -s^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), X_{2}^{-}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -s^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
K_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), K_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The most reliable way to work out the $R$-matrices $R_{E F}, R_{F E}$ and $R_{F F}$ is to combine $R_{E E}$ with module homomorphisms cup ${ }_{E F}, \operatorname{cup}_{F E}, \operatorname{cap}_{E F}$ and $\operatorname{cap}_{F E}$ between the modules $E \otimes F, F \otimes E$ and the trivial 1-dimensional module, $I$, on which $X_{i}^{ \pm}$acts as zero and $K_{i}$ as the identity. The matrices are determined up to a scalar by such considerations; a choice for one dictates the rest.

Once these matrices have been found they can be combined with the matrix $R_{E E}^{-1}$ to construct the $R$-matrices $R_{E F}, R_{F E}, R_{F F}$, using the diagram shown below, for example, to determine $R_{E F}$. This gives

$$
R_{E F}=\left(1_{F} \otimes 1_{E} \otimes \operatorname{cap}_{E F}\right) \circ\left(1_{F} \otimes R_{E E}^{-1} \otimes 1_{F}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{cup}_{F E} \otimes 1_{E} \otimes 1_{F}\right)
$$


$=$


The module structure of $M=V_{\square \square}$ can be found by identifying $M$ as a 27-dimensional submodule of $V_{\boxminus} \otimes V_{\square}$, while the two 6-dimensional modules $V_{\square}$ and $V_{\boxplus}$ are themselves submodules of $E \otimes E$ and $F \otimes F$ respectively.

We know, by the Pieri formula, that there is a direct sum decomposition of $V_{\boxplus} \otimes V_{\square}$ as $M \oplus N$, where $M=V_{\square}$ and $N$ is the sum of the 8-dimensional module $V_{\boxplus}$ and the 1-dimensional trivial module.

We first identify the module $V_{\square}$ as a submodule of $E \otimes E$, knowing that $E \otimes E$ is isomorphic to $V_{\square} \otimes F$. The full twist element on the two strings both coloured by $E$ is represented by $R_{E E}^{2}$ which acts on $E \otimes E$ as a scalar on each of the two irreducible submodules $V_{\square}$ and $F$.

Use Maple to find bases for the two eigenspaces of $R_{E E}^{2}$. Then we can identify $V_{\square}$ with the 6-dimensional one, and write $P$ and $Q$ for the $9 \times 6$ and $9 \times 3$ matrices whose columns are these bases. The partitioned matrix $(P \mid Q)$ is invertible, and its inverse, found by Maple, can be written as $\left(\frac{R}{S}\right)$, where $R$ is a $6 \times 9$ matrix with $R P=I_{6}$ and $R Q=0$.

Regard $P=\operatorname{inj} M_{1} E E$ as the matrix representing the inclusion of the module $V_{\square}$ into $E \otimes E$. Then $R=\operatorname{proj} E E M_{1}$ is the matrix, in the same basis, of the projection from $E \otimes E$ to $V_{\square}$. For $M_{1}=V_{\square}$ the module generators $Y_{M_{1}}$ are given by $Y_{M_{1}}=R Y_{E E} P$, giving the explicit action of the quantum group on $V_{\square}$ 。

We perform a similar calculation on $F \otimes F$ to identify the module $M_{2}=V_{\square}$ and the matrices $\operatorname{inj} M_{2} F F$ and $\operatorname{proj} F F M_{2}$, giving the action of the quantum
group on $M_{2}=V_{\boxminus}$ in a similar way.
We use inclusion and projection further to find the four $6^{2} \times 6^{2} R$-matrices $R_{M_{i} M_{j}}$. For example, to construct $R_{M_{1} M_{2}}: M_{1} \otimes M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2} \otimes M_{1}$, first map $M_{1} \otimes M_{2}$ to $E \otimes E \otimes F \otimes F$ by $\operatorname{inj} M_{1} E E \otimes \operatorname{inj} M_{2} F F$. Then construct the $R$-matrix crossing two strings with $E \otimes E$ and two with $F \otimes F$ as the composite of $1 \otimes R_{E F} \otimes 1, R_{E F} \otimes R_{F E}$ and $1 \otimes R_{F F} \otimes 1$, and finally compose with the projections proj $F F M_{2} \otimes \operatorname{proj} E E M_{1}$.

A similar calculation on the module $M_{1} \otimes M_{2}$ yields the submodule $M=$ $V_{\square \square}$. The full twist on two strings, one coloured by $M_{1}$ and one by $M_{2}$, is represented by the product $R_{M_{2} M_{1}} R_{M_{1} M_{2}}$ and will have one 27 -dimensional eigenspace $M$ complemented by two other eigenspaces. Taking the bases of these eigenspaces in a partitioned $36 \times 36$ matrix as above will determine a $36 \times 27$ matrix $P=\operatorname{inj} M M_{1} M_{2}$ and a $27 \times 36$ matrix $R=\operatorname{proj} M_{1} M_{2} M$. The quantum group actions $Y_{M_{1} M_{2}}$ on the tensor product are determined by the coproduct formulae, and the actions $Y_{M}$ are then given from these using $P$ and $R$. These in turn give rise to the quantum group actions $Y_{M M}$ on $M \otimes M$.

We are also able to construct the $27^{2} \times 27^{2} R$-matrix $R_{M M}$ using the same inclusion and projection to map $M \otimes M$ into $M_{1} \otimes M_{2} \otimes M_{1} \otimes M_{2}$, followed by the matrix for crossing four strands, built up from the $R$-matrices $R_{M_{i} M_{j}}$ and then the projections back to $M \otimes M$.

### 3.2 Completing the calculations

Remark 2. We can reach this stage directly if we know the six module generators $Y_{M}$ and the $R$-matrix $R_{M M}$ for the module $M=V_{\square}$. We can then calculate the module generators $Y_{M M}$ using the coproduct, and the twisting element $T_{M}=\left(K_{1 M}\right)^{4}\left(K_{2 M}\right)^{4}$.

Knowing the module generators $Y_{M M}$ gives an immediate means of finding the highest weight vectors as common null-vectors of $X_{i M M}^{+}$, and their weights can be identified. All the submodules of $M \otimes M$ occur with multiplicity 1 except $V_{\nu}$ with partition $\nu=6,4,2$ whose highest weights are 2,2 . The 3 -dimensional space $W_{\nu}$ of highest weight vectors for $\nu$ is found by solving the linear equations $X_{1 M M}^{+} v=0, X_{2 M M}^{+} v=0, K_{1 M M} v=a^{2} v$ and $K_{2 M M} v=a^{2} v$ for $v$. We then find the 2-dimensional positive eigenspace for $R_{M M}$ on $W_{\nu}$. The endomorphisms $J_{A}$ and $J_{B}$ will preserve this eigenspace.

Represent the 3 -braid $\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{2}$ in the 2-tangle $A$ by an endomorphism $F_{A}$ of $M \otimes M \otimes M$, using $R_{M M}$ and its inverse. Then use $T_{M}$ and the partial trace to close off one string, hence giving the endomorphism $J_{A}$ of $M \otimes M$ determined by $A$. Explicitly, choose a basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of $M$ and write

$$
F_{A}\left(v \otimes T_{M}\left(e_{i}\right)\right)=\sum_{j} f_{i j}(v) \otimes e_{j}
$$

with $f_{i j}(v) \in M \otimes M$. Then $J_{A}(v)=\sum_{i} f_{i i}(v)$. Applied to each of the two vectors in the highest weight space this determines a $2 \times 2$ matrix $A_{\nu}$ representing
the restriction of $J_{A}$ to this subspace. Similarly $B_{\nu}$ is found using the mirror image braid $\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{-1}$.

We know that $R_{M M}$ acts as a scalar on the 2-dimensional space so $J\left(K ; V_{\mu}\right)-$ $J\left(K^{\prime} ; V_{\mu}\right)$ is a non-zero scalar multiple of $\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\nu} B_{\nu} A_{\nu} B_{\nu}-B_{\nu} A_{\nu} A_{\nu} B_{\nu}\right)$.

This difference is $2\left(q^{6}+q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1\right)\left(q^{4}+1\right)\left(q^{6}+q^{3}+1\right)^{2}\left(q^{4}-\right.$ $\left.q^{2}+1\right)^{2}\left(q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1\right)^{3}\left(q^{2}+1\right)^{4}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)^{4}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)^{4}(q+1)^{10}(q-1)^{18}$, up to a power of $q=s^{2}$ and the quantum dimension of $V_{\nu}$.

### 3.3 Further examples of difference

Using the same matrices $A_{\nu}$ and $B_{\nu}$ it is possible to find further pretzel knot examples based on sequences of the tangles $A$ and $B$ where the 6 -parallels have different Homfly polynomial, such as the knots $K(3,3,3,-3,-3)$ and $K(3,3,-3,3,-3)$. The difference here is the same as for the first example multiplied by the factor $2 q^{32}-q^{31}-3 q^{30}+5 q^{29}+3 q^{28}-10 q^{27}+q^{26}+14 q^{25}-6 q^{24}-$ $19 q^{23}+21 q^{22}+20 q^{21}-46 q^{20}+2 q^{19}+61 q^{18}-48 q^{17}-35 q^{16}+83 q^{15}-27 q^{14}-66 q^{13}+$ $72 q^{12}+3 q^{11}-57 q^{10}+40 q^{9}+10 q^{8}-33 q^{7}+16 q^{6}+7 q^{5}-12 q^{4}+7 q^{3}-4 q+2$. The same calculations guarantee that satellites based on any closed 6 -tangle $Q=\widehat{T}$ will have different Homfly polynomial, provided that the trace $c_{\mu}$ of the endomorphism $J_{\widehat{T}}$ on the highest weight space $W_{\mu}$ of $V^{\otimes 6}$ is non-zero, where $\mu$ is the partition 4,2 . This will be the case for most, but not all, patterns $Q$, and certainly will be the case for many satellites which are knots rather than links.

The calculations in section 3.2 also show that the 4 -parallels of the two pretzel knots $K(1,3,3,-3,-3)$ and $K(1,3,-3,3,-3)$ with two strings oriented in one direction and two in the opposite direction will have different Homfly polynomials, by using the decomposition of the corresponding $\operatorname{sl}(3)_{q}$ module $W=V \otimes V \otimes V_{\square} \otimes V_{\boxminus}$ into a sum of irreducible $s l(3)_{q}$ modules. The only module to figure in this decomposition with any multiplicity in its symmetric or exterior square is again $V_{\square \square}$. The calculations above, using the fact that Homfly with $v=s^{-3}$ can be calculated by colouring strings with reverse orientation by the dual module $V^{*}$ to the fundamental module, and that this is $V_{\square}$ for $\operatorname{sl}(3)_{q}$.

## 4 Cable patterns

By way of contrast, if the pattern $Q$ is a cable on any number of strings then $K * Q$ and $K^{\prime} * Q$ share the same Homfly polynomial, where $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ have the same symmetry as in theorem 1.

Theorem 4. Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are both symmetric under the half-twist $\tau_{3}$, so that


Let $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ be knots which are the closure of $A B C$ and $B A C$ respectively for any tangle $C$, as in figure 2. Then $P(K * Q)=P\left(K^{\prime} * Q\right)$ for every $(m, n)$ cable pattern $Q$ where $m$ and $n$ are coprime.

Proof. As in the proof of theorem 1 we show that $J\left(K * Q ; V^{(N)}\right)=J\left(K^{\prime} *\right.$ $\left.Q ; V^{(N)}\right)$ for all $N$. By equation 1 it is then enough to show that $J\left(K ; V_{\mu}^{(N)}\right)=$ $J\left(K^{\prime} ; V_{\mu}^{(N)}\right)$ for all $N$ and all partitions $\mu \vdash m$ for which the coefficient $c_{\mu} \neq$ 0 . The coefficients $c_{\mu}$ depend on the pattern $Q$ and arise as the trace of the endomorphism $J_{T}$ when restricted to the highest weight space $W_{\mu} \subset V^{\otimes m}$, where $Q$ is the closure of the $m$-braid $T=\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{m-1}\right)^{n}$.

It is shown in [9], (see also [5]), that for any such cable $Q$ the only non-zero coefficients $c_{\mu}$ occur when the partition $\mu$ is a hook, if $m$ and $n$ are coprime. It is then enough to show that $J\left(K ; V_{\mu}^{(N)}\right)=J\left(K^{\prime} ; V_{\mu}^{(N)}\right)$ for all hook partitions $\mu$.

Using the same argument as in theorem 1 it remains to check that no Schur function $s_{\nu}$ occurs with multiplicity $>1$ in the decomposition of either the symmetric or exterior squares, $h_{2}\left(s_{\mu}\right)$ or $e_{2}\left(s_{\mu}\right)$, for any hook partition $\mu$. This fact has been established by Carbonara, Remmel and Yang in theorem 3 of [2], and so the proof is complete.

Remark 3. Theorem 4 highlights the importance of a precise terminology for different types of satellite. The term cable is sometimes used to mean any satellite, while there is a clear distiction here between the behaviour of cables and of parallels or other satellites, which is not primarily a matter of the number of components of the satellite.
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