### **Representation space**

- Throughout this lecture,  $\Gamma_0$  is a finitely generated group and  $\rho : \Gamma_0 \to \Gamma \leq PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$  is a group isomorphism.
- If Γ<sub>0</sub> has a generating set with r elements, then we can identify the set of all (Γ, ρ) with a closed affine subvariety of (PSL(2, C))<sup>r</sup>.
- We are interested in the case when  $\Gamma$  is Kleinian, that is discrete.

### **Quasi-conformal deformations**

**Definition.**  $(\Gamma_2, \rho_2)$  is a *quasi-conformal deformation* of  $(\Gamma_1, \rho_1)$  if there is a quasiconformal homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $\rho_2(\gamma_0) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \rho_1(\gamma_0)$  for all  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0$ .

- In this case,  $\gamma \to \varphi \circ \gamma \circ \varphi^{-1} : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2$  is a group isomorphism.
- The derivative  $D\varphi$ , which is defined a.e., defines a  $\Gamma_1$ -invariant field of ellipses by

$$\underline{x}^T D \varphi_z^T D \varphi_z \underline{x} = \text{const.}$$

- This also defines a Γ<sub>1</sub>- invariant line field, taking the the major axis or 0 depending on whether the ellipse is not, or is, a circle.
- Alternatively,  $\varphi_{\overline{z}}/\varphi_z$  is a  $\Gamma_1$ -invariant Beltrami-differential.

### **Stable representations**

**Definition.** A group  $\Gamma, \rho$  is *stable* if for any representation  $\rho : \Gamma_0 \to \Gamma$  and any  $(\Gamma', \rho')$  sufficiently close to  $(\Gamma, \rho)$  there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  such that

$$\varphi(\rho(\gamma).z) = \rho'(\gamma'.\varphi(z))$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$  and  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . It is relatively straightforward to prove that any finitely generated Kleinian group  $\Gamma$  which acts hyperbolically on  $L_{\Gamma}$  is stable. The following theorem is due to Sullivan.

**Theorem 1.** If  $\Gamma$  is stable then  $\Gamma$  acts hyperbolically on  $L_{\Gamma}$ .

### The Ingredients

The Sullivan-Mane-Sad λ-lemma, which implies that all nearby maps are actually quasiconformally conjugate:

 $\lambda$ -Lemma If  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is open and  $X \subset C$  with  $\Phi(\underline{0}, z) = z$  and  $\Phi : \Lambda \times X \to \mathbb{C} : (\lambda, z) \mapsto \Phi(\lambda, z)$  is holomorphic in  $\lambda$ , and injective on X for each fixed  $\lambda$ , then the map  $z \mapsto \Phi(\lambda, z)$  extends to a quasi-conformal homeomophism from  $\overline{X}$  to its image.

- An argument due to Thurston, which shows that the representation space is bounded below by a sum of numbers, one corresponding to each topological end of the manifold. This, in turn, depends the existence, in hyperbolic 3-manifold with finitely generated fundamental group of the compact *Scott core*;
- The following theorem (also due to Sullivan)

## **Invariant line fields**

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated Kleinian group. Then any  $\Gamma$ -invariant line field is supported a.e. on the domain of discontinuity  $\Omega_{\Gamma}$ .

The analogues of Sullivan's Theorems for holomorphic maps, even for polynomials, is still unknown, although quasi-conformal rigidity is now known in some cases.

#### Further remarks.

- The Ahlfors Conjecture, that the limit set of a Kleinian group is either 
   C
   or of zero measure, has now been proved. This does not imply Sullivan's theorem in the case when the limit set is 
   C
   .
- The analogue of the Ahlfors conjecture is now known to be false for polynomials (Buff and Cheritat).
- An eventual corollary of Sullivan's No-invariant line fields, and the Ahlfors' Finiteness Theorem is that the quasi-conformal deformation space of  $(\Gamma, \rho)$  is a finite-dimensional manifold, whose dimension can be computed.

#### **Recurrent and Dissipative**

**Definition.** The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $L_{\Gamma}$  is said to be *recurrent* if for any set  $U \subset L_{\Gamma}$  of positive Lebesgue measure, there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  with  $\gamma \neq I$  such that  $U \cap \gamma U$  has positive measure.

 If the action of Γ on L is not recurrent then there exists a set U of positive measure such that all the sets γ.U are disjoint. We then write

$$\Gamma.U = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma.U$$

- In this case, there is a positive measure set V which is of the form Γ.U for some such U, which contains a.e. point of Γ.U<sub>1</sub>, for any measurable set U<sub>1</sub> such that the sets γ.U<sub>1</sub> are all disjoint.
- Such a set V, which is defined modulo sets of measure 0, is called the *dissipative* part of the action of Γ on L<sub>Γ</sub>. the complement is the *recurrent part*

### No dissipative part

Sullivan proved the absence of invariant line fields by the following reduction.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated Kleinian group. Then the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $L_{\Gamma}$  has no dissipative part modulo sets of measure 0. That is, the action is recurrent.

## Proof

- The proof is by contradiction. We assume that there is a dissipative part.
- This gives an infinite-dimensional space of  $\Gamma$ -invariant Beltrami differentials on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- This, in turn, gives an infinite-dimensional space of Kleinian groups isomorphic to Γ, which is impossible.

### The infinite dimensional space of Beltrami differentials

- Suppose for contradiction that there is a set U ⊂ L<sub>Γ</sub> of positive measure such that the sets γ.U are all disjoint.
- Then the space of Beltrami differentials supported on U is infinite dimensional. To find an infinite linearly independent set we can for example choose disjoint positive measure sets U<sub>i</sub> in U and let μ<sub>i</sub> ∈ L<sup>∞</sup>(U<sub>i</sub>) with ||μ<sub>i</sub>|| ≤ <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>. Then

$$\{\sum_j \alpha_j \mu_j : \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_j |\alpha_j| \le 1\}$$

is an infinite-dimensional family of Beltrami differentials on U.

• Any Beltrami differential  $\mu$  on U extends to a unique  $\Gamma$ -invariant Beltramidifferential on  $\Gamma.U$  ( $\gamma^*\mu = \mu$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) and then to  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  by taking it to be 0 on the complement of  $\Gamma.U$ .

### The corresponding Kleinian groups

- We start with a  $\Gamma$ -invariant Beltrami differential  $\mu$ .
- Let  $\varphi_{\mu}$  be the quasi-conformal homeomorphism with  $\varphi_{\mu}^{*}(0) = \mu$ , that is

$$(\varphi_{\mu})_{\overline{z}} = \mu(\varphi_{\mu})_z.$$

Note that this implies  $\mu \mapsto \varphi_{\mu}$  is injective.

- The homeomorphism  $\varphi_{\mu}$  is unique if we normalise it to fix 0, 1 and  $\infty$ .
- For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\varphi_{\mu} \circ \gamma \circ \varphi_{\mu}^{-1}$  is a Möbius transformation because it is quasiconformal and

$$(\varphi_{\mu} \circ \gamma \circ \varphi_{\mu}^{-1})^* 0 = (\gamma \circ \varphi_{\mu}^{-1})^* (\mu) = (\varphi_{\mu}^{-1})^* (\mu) = 0.$$

• So  $\varphi_{\mu} \circ \Gamma \circ \varphi_{\mu}^{-1}$  is a Kleinian group  $\Gamma_{\mu}$ .

### **Properties of the map** $\mu \mapsto \Gamma_{\mu}$

- The map μ → Γ<sub>μ</sub> is holomorphic in μ because φ<sub>μ</sub> ∘ γ ∘ φ<sub>μ</sub><sup>-1</sup> maps 0, ∞ and 1 to φ<sub>μ</sub>(γ.0), φ<sub>μ</sub>(γ.∞) and φ<sub>μ</sub>(γ.1), and these are holomorphic in μ by the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem.
- Since the map  $\mu \mapsto \varphi_{\mu}$  is injective, the map  $\mu \mapsto \Gamma_{\mu}$  is also injective.

For if  $\Gamma_{\mu_1} = \Gamma_{\mu_2}$  and  $\varphi_{\mu_1}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\mu_2} = \varphi$ , then  $\varphi(\gamma.z) = \gamma.\varphi(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows that  $\varphi$  fixes all fixed points of hyperbolic elements of  $\Gamma$  and must be the identity on  $L_{\Gamma}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega_{\Gamma}$ , it is holomorphic on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  and must be the identity. So  $\varphi_{\mu_1} = \varphi_{\mu_2}$  and  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

# **Preservation of dimension**

- Any holomorphic (or  $C^1$ ) map from one manifold to another is a submersion onto a submanifold, restricted to any open set on which the rank of the derivative is constant.
- Hence, if μ<sub>λ</sub> is any holomorphic family of Beltrami differentials parametrised by an open set Λ of some C<sup>n</sup> then the map Φ : λ → Γ<sub>μ<sub>λ</sub></sub> is a diffeomorphism restricted to the subset of Λ on which the derivative of Φ has maximal rank.
- Hence

$$\dim \Phi(\Lambda)) \ge \dim(\Lambda).$$

- The dimension of Λ can be taken arbitrarily large and the (complex) dimension of Φ(Λ) is bounded by three times the number of generators of Γ.
- This gives a contradiction, completing the proof that the action of Γ on L<sub>Γ</sub> is recurrent. There is no dissipative part.

### The recurrent part

The strategy for showing that there is no nontrivial measurable invariant line field on  $L_{\Gamma}$  is by contradiction. So assume that there is a nontrivial measurable invariant line field on  $L_{\Gamma}$ .

- By Egoroff's Theorem, there is a compact set K of strictly positive Lebesgue measure restricted to which the line field is continuous.
- By compactness, the line field is uniformly continuous restricted to K. So given

   ε > 0 there is δ > 0 such that the direction of the line field varies by at most ε
   on the intersection of K with any ball of radius δ.
- By a basic result in geometric measure theory, almost every point z of K is a Lebesgue density point of K, that is,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{meas}(K \cap B_r(z))}{\operatorname{meas}B_r(z))} = 1.$$

• Let  $K_1$  be the set of points in K where the density in  $B_{r'}(z)$  is at least  $1 - \varepsilon_0$  for all  $r' \leq r$ , choosing r so that  $K_1$  has positive measure.

### Continued..

- By recurrence, for a.e.  $z \in K_1, \gamma . z \in K_1$  for infinitely many  $\gamma$ .
- The aim is to show that the line field cannot vary in direction by  $< \varepsilon$  on both  $B_{\delta}(z)$  and  $B_{\delta}(\gamma.z)$ .

• Use the compact-abelian-compact decomposition

$$\gamma = \pm P \Delta Q$$

where

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0\\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

- Then for a constant C, either  $|\gamma . z P.0| < C\lambda$  or  $|z Q^{-1}.\infty| < C\lambda$ .
- We can assume  $\lambda$  small enough that  $2C\lambda < r$ .
- In the first case consider the image under  $\gamma^{-1}$  of

$$\{z': |z' - \gamma . z| < C\lambda\}$$

- If the line field is within ε on proportion ≥ 1 − ε<sub>0</sub> of B<sub>Cλ</sub>(γ.z) then it cannot be so for B<sub>Cλ</sub>(z).
- The other case is similar.